Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/789,436	PATTERSON ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
·	James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	1618
All Participants: Status of Application:		
(1) James W. Rogers, Ph.D.	(3)	
(2) <u>Vandana Bansal, Ph.D.</u>	(4)	
Date of Interview: <u>11 September 2007</u>	Time: <u>3:00 PM</u>	•
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative) Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: NA		
Claims discussed: NA		
Prior art documents discussed:		•
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: The examiner contacted Dr. Bansal with regards to missing page number 15 in applicants arguments filed 8/27/2007. Dr. Bansal faxed a copy of the page to examiner Dr. Rogers.		
Part III.		
 □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. ☑ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above. 		
84 8_		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)		
(Examiner/SPÉ Signature) (Applicant	/Applicant's Representative S	ignature – if appropriate)